

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/734,521	12/12/2003	Raj B. Durairaj	48251-00009USPT	9994
75	90 02/08/2006		EXAMINER	
BAI, Benjamin			TRUONG, DUC	
Jones Day 717 Texes, Suite	e 330		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Houston, TX		1711		
			DATE MAILED: 02/08/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	100				
Advisory Action	10/734,521	DURAIRAJ ET AL.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Duc Truong	1711					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 1/2/6/06 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff rtice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this A	-	in the final rejection, wh	ichover is later. In				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comparison.	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date. Soliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	of the fee. The appropring the first tender of the final rejection, of the filed within two months.	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed, as of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed			e appeal. Since				
AMENDMENTS							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in below 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);					
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ootou oluliilo.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) rejected: 1-6,9,13 and 17. Claim(s) withdrawn for consideration:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	41 - 6						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good answas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a l).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

13. Other: ____.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: for the reasons as stated in the last office action and for the following reasons:

In response to (A), see last Office action. Applicant also argues that the use of the acid catalyst in the claimed step (B) got support from the specification in that the Examples have been provided with specific details. Note that all of the Examples do disclose the use of a strong base, a sodium hydroxide, in the claimed step (B), to neutralize the acid catalyst in the claimed step (A), due to the change of pH from 6-12. Therefore, the pH of the mixture depends on the volume and the concentration of said sodium hydroxide. In the case of pH=7, the acid is totally neutralized and is absent from the mixture. Applicant also argues based on the examples to show the step (b) of claim 1 occurs in the presence of the p-toluene sulfonic acid catalyst because the catalyst was not neutralized or destroyed before the completion of step (b), This is incorrect since to pick and choose the sodium hydroxide to contact with the claimed step (A) to get the pH form 7-12 is level of ordinary skill in the art and would have been obvious. There is no acid catalyst in the claimed step (B) and the claims do not have support form the specification.

DUCTRUONG DRIMARY EXAMINER